

# Issue Brief

FEDERAL ISSUE BRIEF • August 13, 2018

## CMS Issues Proposed ACO Rule; Would Extensively Restructure the Program

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services published a proposed rule that would overhaul the Medicare Shared Savings Program, which is the program established by the *Affordable Care Act* and launched in 2012 under which the vast majority of Medicare's Accountable Care Organizations operate. The redesigned program would be called "Pathways to Success."

The 607-page document is scheduled for publication in the Federal Register on Friday, Aug. 17. A copy is available at: <https://s3.amazonaws.com/public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2018-17101.pdf>. A 60-day comment period is provided.

### COMMENT

CMS notes the program began in 2012, and as of January 2018, there are 561 ACOs participating and serving over 10.5 million Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries. A major goal of the ACO restructuring is to improve Medicare's savings; i.e., reduce program outlays. CMS notes that it is looking/projecting savings of \$2.2 billion over 10 years.

The Shared Savings Program currently includes three financial models. The vast majority of ACOs, 82 percent in 2018, chose to enter under the one-sided, shared savings-only model (Track 1), under which eligible ACOs receive a share of any savings

under their benchmark, but are not required to pay back a share of spending over their benchmark.

CMS says that its results to date have shown that ACOs in two-sided models perform better over time than one-sided model ACOs. Further, low revenue ACOs, which typically are physician-led, perform better than high revenue ACOs, which often include hospitals, and the longer ACOs are in the program the better they do at achieving the program goals of lowering growth in expenditures and improving quality.

### SUMMARY OF THE MAJOR PROVISIONS

This proposed rule would restructure the participation options by discontinuing Track 1 (the one-sided shared savings-only model) and Track 2 (the two-sided shared savings and shared losses model), while maintaining Track 3 (renamed the ENHANCED track) and offering a new BASIC track.

Under the proposed approach, the program's two tracks would be: (i) a BASIC track, offering a path from a one-sided model to progressively higher increments of risk and potential reward within a single agreement period, and (ii) an ENHANCED track based on the existing Track 3 (two-sided model) for ACOs that take on the highest level of risk and potential reward.

4712 Country Club Drive  
Jefferson City, MO 65109

P.O. Box 60  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

573/893-3700  
[www.mhanet.com](http://www.mhanet.com)



continued

To provide ACOs time to consider the new participation options and prepare for program changes, make investments and other business decisions about participation, obtain buy-in from their governing bodies and executives, and complete and submit a Shared Savings Program application for a performance year beginning in 2019, CMS intends to forgo the application cycle in 2018 for an agreement start date of Jan. 1, 2019, and instead propose to offer a July 1, 2019, start date.

This midyear also would allow both new applicants and ACOs currently participating in the program an opportunity to make any changes to the structure and composition of their ACO as may be necessary to comply with the new program requirements for the ACOs, if changes to the participation options are finalized as proposed.

Additionally, ACOs with a participation agreement ending on Dec. 31, 2018, would have an opportunity to extend their current agreement period for an additional six-month performance year and to apply for a new agreement period under the BASIC track or ENHANCED track beginning on July 1, 2019.

ACOs entering a new agreement period on July 1, 2019, would have the opportunity to participate in the program under an agreement period spanning five years and six months, where the first performance year is the six-month period between July 1, 2019, and Dec. 31, 2019. This proposed rule includes the proposed methodology for determining ACO financial performance for these two, six-month performance years during CY 2019.

Further, the proposal would make other updates to the program’s regulations for consistency with other changes in program policies or Medicare policies more generally, such as: (1) modifying the definition of primary care services used in beneficiary assignment to add new codes and revising how CMS determines whether evaluation and management services were furnished in a Skilled Nursing Facility; (2) extending policies previously adopted for performance year 2017 to performance year 2018 and subsequent years to address quality performance scoring and the determination of shared losses (under two-sided models) in the event of extreme or uncontrollable circumstances; and (3) promoting interoperability in Medicare by establishing a new Shared Savings Program eligibility requirement related to adoption of CEHRT by an ACO’s eligible clinicians, while discontinuing use of the existing quality measure on use of CEHRT.

Below are several tables from the proposed rule that reflect some major changes.

<b>ACOs by Track and Number of Assigned Beneficiaries for Performance Year 2018</b>		
<b>Track</b>	<b>Number of ACOs</b>	<b>Number of Assigned Beneficiaries</b>
Track 1	460	8,147,234
Track 1+ Model	55	1,212,417
Track 2	8	122,995
Track 3	38	993,533
<b>Total</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>10,476,179</b>

*Note that few ACOs are in risk sharing models.*



*continued*

## Comparison of Risk and Reward Under Basic Track and Enhanced Track

	BASIC Track's Glide Path				ENHANCED Track (Current Track 3)
	Level A & Level B (one-sided model)	Level C (risk/reward)	Level D (risk/reward)	Level E (risk/reward)	
Shared Savings (once Minimum Savings Rate (MSR) met or exceeded)	1st dollar savings at a rate of up to 25% based on quality performance; not to exceed 10% of updated benchmark	1st dollar savings at a rate of up to 30% based on quality performance, not to exceed 10% of updated benchmark	1st dollar savings at a rate of up to 40% based on quality performance, not to exceed 10% of updated benchmark	1st dollar savings at a rate of up to 50% based on quality performance, not to exceed 10% of updated benchmark	No change. 1st dollar savings at a rate of up to 75% based on quality performance, not to exceed 20% of updated benchmark
Shared Losses (once Minimum Loss Rate (MLR) met or exceeded)	N/A	1st dollar losses at a rate of 30%, not to exceed 2% of ACO participant revenue capped at 1% of updated benchmark	1st dollar losses at a rate of 30%, not to exceed 4% of ACO participant revenue capped at 2% of updated benchmark	1st dollar losses at a rate of 30%, not to exceed the percentage of revenue specified in the revenue-based nominal amount standard under the Quality Payment Program (for example, 8% of ACO participant revenue in 2019 – 2020), capped at a percentage of updated benchmark that is 1 percentage point higher than the expenditure-based nominal amount standard (for example, 4% of updated benchmark in 2019 – 2020)	No change. 1st dollar losses at a rate of 1 minus final sharing rate (between 40% - 75%), not to exceed 15% of updated benchmark
Annual choice of beneficiary assignment methodology? (see section II.A.4.c)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Annual election to enter higher risk? (see section II.A.4.b)	Yes	Yes	No; ACO automatically will transition to Level E at the start of the next performance year	No; maximum level of risk/reward under the BASIC track	No; highest level of risk under Shared Savings Program
Advanced APM status under the Quality Payment Program? 1, 2	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

Notes: 1 To be an Advanced APM, an APM must meet the following three criteria. 1. CEHRT criterion: Requires participants to use certified electronic health record technology; 2. Quality Measures criterion: Provides payment for covered professional services based on quality measures comparable to those used in the quality performance category of the Merit-based Incentive Payment System; and 3. Financial Risk criterion: Either (1) be a Medical Home Model expanded under CMS Innovation Center authority or (2) require participating APM Entities to bear more than a nominal amount of financial risk for monetary losses. See, for example, [Alternative Payment Models in the Quality Payment Program as of February 2018, available at https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Payment-Program/Resource-Library/Comprehensive-List-of-APMs.pdf](https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Payment-Program/Resource-Library/Comprehensive-List-of-APMs.pdf).

2 As proposed, BASIC track Levels A, B, C and D would not meet the Financial Risk criterion, and therefore, would not be Advanced APMs. BASIC track Level E and the ENHANCED track would meet all three Advanced APM criteria, and thus would qualify as Advanced APMs. These preliminary assessments reflect the policies discussed in this proposed rule. CMS will make a final determination based on the policies adopted in the final rule.

PY 2016 Results by Shared Savings Program Track								
Track	Two-sided Risk?	Number of ACOs Reconciled	Parts A and B Spending Above Benchmark [A]	Parts A and B Spending Below Benchmark [B]	Shared Savings Payments from CMS to ACOs [C]	Shared Loss Payments from ACOs to CMS [D]	Net Effect in Aggregate [A minus B plus C minus D]	Net Effect per Beneficiary per year
Track 1	No	410	\$1.021 billion	\$1.562 billion	\$590 million	\$0	\$49 million	\$7
Track 2	Yes	6	\$0	\$42 million	\$24 million	\$0	– \$18 million	– \$308
Track 3	Yes	16	\$25 million	\$95 million	\$64 million	\$9 million	– \$14 million	– \$39

## FINAL THOUGHTS

This is a detailed and complex proposal. It is apparent that CMS is not satisfied with the current ACO program because it is not producing expected Medicare results.

The proposal is intended to save Medicare in excess of \$2 billion over 10 years. To do so, it will place ACOs at financial risk. Considering the limited number of ACOs currently participating in the risk models, the question will be how many will reapply. Already, some in the Washington trade circles are predicting less than 100 will participate.

The rule contains no table of contents. Attached is one we developed.

Analysis provided for MHA  
by Larry Goldberg,  
Goldberg Consulting



# ACO PROPOSED RULE 8-9-2018 – TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. Executive Summary and Background</b>	<b>Page 4</b>
A. Executive Summary	
1. Purpose	
2. Summary of the Major Provisions	Page 15
3. Summary of Costs and Benefits	Page 24
B. Statutory and Regulatory Background	Page 25
<b>II. Provisions of the Proposed Regulations</b>	
A. Redesigning Participation Options to Facilitate Transition to Performance-based Risk	Page 28
1. Background on Shared Savings Program Participation Options	Page 28
a. Background on Development of Track 1, Track 2 and Track 3	Page 28
b. Background on Factors Affecting Transition to Performance-Based Risk	Page 35
c. Background on Length of Agreement Period	Page 42
d. Background on Shared Savings Program Participation	Page 43
2. Proposals for Modified Participation Options under 5-year Agreement Periods	Page 44
3. Creating a BASIC Track with Glide Path to Performance-based Risk	Page 59
a. Overview	Page 59
b. Proposals for Phase-in of Performance-based Risk in the BASIC Track	Page 62
(1) Background on Levels of Risk and Reward	
(2) Levels of Risk and Reward in the BASIC Track’s Glide Path	Page 67
(3) Calculation of Loss Sharing Limit	Page 78
4. Permitting Annual Participation Elections	Page 88
a. Overview	
b. Proposals for Permitting Election of Differing Levels of Risk within the BASIC Track’s Glide Path	Page 89
c. Proposals for Permitting Annual Election of Beneficiary Assignment Methodology	Page 92
5. Determining Participation Options based on Medicare FFS Revenue and Prior Participation	Page 101
a. Overview	
b. Differentiating between Low Revenue ACOs and High Revenue ACOs	Page 102
(1) Identifying low revenue ACOs and high revenue ACOs	Page 103
(2) Restricting ACOs’ participation in the BASIC track prior to transitioning to participation in the ENHANCED track	Page 115
(3) Allowing greater potential for reward for low revenue ACOs	Page 121
c. Determining Participation Options based on Prior Participation of ACO Legal Entity and ACO Participants	Page 125
(1) Overview	
(2) Background on re-entry into the program after termination	Page 126
(3) Background on renewal for uninterrupted program participation	Page 129
(4) Proposals for streamlining regulations	Page 130
(a) Defining renewing and re-entering ACOs	Page 131
(b) Eligibility requirements and application procedures for renewing and re-entering ACOs	Page 137

(5) Proposed evaluation criteria for determining participation options	Page 145
d. Monitoring for Financial Performance	Page 174
(1) Background	
(2) Proposed Revisions	Page 176
6. Requirements for ACO Participation in Two-sided Models	Page 180
a. Overview	
b. Election of MSR/MLR by ACOs	Page 181
(1) Background	
(2) Proposals for Timing and Selection of MSR/MLR	Page 182
(3) Proposals for Modifying the MSR/MLR to Address Small Population Sizes	Page 185
c. ACO Repayment Mechanisms	Page 192
(1) Background	
(2) Proposals Regarding Repayment Mechanism Amounts	Page 195
(3) Proposals Regarding Submission of Repayment Mechanism Documentation	Page 202
(4) Proposal for Repayment Mechanism Duration	Page 203
(5) Proposals Regarding Institutions Issuing Repayment Mechanism Arrangements	Page 207
d. Advance Notice for and Payment Consequences of Termination	Page 210
(1) Background	
(2) Proposals for Advance Notice of Voluntary Termination	Page 212
(3) Proposals for Payment Consequences of Termination	Page 213
7. Participation Options for Agreement Periods Beginning in 2019	Page 219
a. Overview	
b. Methodology for determining financial and quality performance for the 6-month performance years during 2019	Page 229
(1) Overview	
(2) Proposals for determining performance for the 6-month performance year from January 1, 2019, through June 30, 2019	Page 236
(3) Proposals for determining performance for the 6-month performance year from July 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019	Page 242
c. Applicability of program policies to ACOs participating in a 6-month performance year	Page 248
(1) Unavailability of an Application Cycle for Use of a SNF 3-Day Rule Waiver Beginning January 1, 2019	Page 249
(2) Annual Certifications and ACO Participant List Modifications	Page 250
(3) Repayment Mechanism Requirements	Page 253
(4) Proposals for Quality Reporting and Quality Measure Sampling	Page 254
(5) Proposals for Applicability of Extreme and Uncontrollable Circumstances Policies	Page 260
(6) Proposals for Payment and Recoupment for 6-month Performance Years	Page 261
(7) Proposals for Automatic Transition of ACOs under the BASIC Track's Glide Path	Page 263
(8) Interactions with the Quality Payment Program	Page 264
(9) Proposals for Sharing CY 2019 Aggregate Data with ACOs in 6-month Performance Year from January 2019 through June 2019	Page 265
(10) Proposals for Technical or Conforming Changes to Allow for 6-month Performance Years	Page 266

B. Fee-For-Service Benefit Enhancements	Page 269
1. Background	
2. Proposed Revisions	Page 271
a. Shared Savings Program SNF 3-Day Rule Waiver	
(1) Background	
(2) Proposals	Page 272
b. Billing and Payment for Telehealth Services	Page 281
(1) Background	
(2) Provisions of the Bipartisan Budget Act for Telehealth in the Shared Savings Program	Page 284
(3) Proposals	Page 285
C. Providing Tools to Strengthen Beneficiary Engagement	Page 295
1. Background on Beneficiary Engagement	
2. Beneficiary Incentives	Page 296
a. Overview	
b. Provisions of the Bipartisan Budget Act for ACO Beneficiary Incentive Programs	Page 300
c. Proposals for Beneficiary Incentive Programs	Page 304
d. Clarification of Existing Rules	Page 318
3. Empowering Beneficiary Choice	Page 319
a. Beneficiary Notifications	
(1) Background on Beneficiary Notifications	
(2) Proposed Revisions	Page 323
b. Beneficiary Opt-In Based Assignment Methodology	Page 327
D. Benchmarking Methodology Refinements	Page 351
1. Background	
2. Risk Adjustment Methodology for Adjusting Historical Benchmark each Performance Year	Page 354
a. Background	
b. Proposed Revisions	Page 357
3. Use of Regional Factors when Establishing and Resetting ACOs' Benchmarks	Page 363
a. Background	
b. Proposals to Apply Regional Expenditures in Determining the Benchmark for an ACO's First Agreement Period	Page 367
c. Proposals for Modifying the Regional Adjustment	Page 370
d. Proposals for Modifying the Methodology for Calculating Growth Rates Used in Establishing, Resetting, and Updating the Benchmark	Page 379
4. Technical Changes to Incorporate References to Benchmark Rebasing Policies	Page 390
E. Updating Program Policies	Page 391
1. Overview	
2. Revisions to Policies on Voluntary Alignment	Page 392
a. Background	
b. Proposals	Page 394

3. Revisions to the Definition of Primary Care Services used in Beneficiary Assignment	Page 400
a. Background	
b. Proposed Revisions	Page 404
4. Extreme and Uncontrollable Circumstances Policies for the Shared Savings Program	Page 412
a. Background	
b. Proposed Revisions	Page 414
(1) Modification of Quality Performance Scores for all ACOs in Affected Areas	Page 416
(2) Mitigating Shared Losses for ACOs Participating in a Performance -based Risk Track	Page 424
(3) Determination of Historical Benchmarks for ACOs in Affected Areas	Page 430
5. Program Data and Quality Measures	Page 436
6. Promoting Interoperability	Page 443
7. Coordination of Pharmacy Care for ACO Beneficiaries	Page 454
F. Applicability of Proposed Policies to Track 1+ Model ACOs	Page 456
1. Background	
2. Unavailability of Application Cycles for Entry into the Track 1+ Model in 2019 and 2020	Page 458
3. Applicability of Proposed Policies to Track 1+ Model ACOs through Revised Program Regulations or Revisions to Track 1+ Model Participation Agreements	Page 460
G. Summary of Proposed Timing of Applicability	Page 464
<b>III Collection of Information</b>	<b>Page 468</b>
<b>IV. Regulatory Impact Analysis</b>	<b>Page 468</b>
<b>V. Response to Comments</b>	<b>Page 510</b>
<b>List of Subjects (Regulation Text)</b>	<b>Page 511</b>